

Appl. No. 10/689,152  
Amdt. dated August 10, 2006  
Reply to Office action of July 11, 2006

**REMARKS**

This is in response to the Restriction Requirement dated July 11, 2006. The Examiner requires an election between Species I, Fig. 7A; Species II, Fig. 7B; Species III, Figs. 8A-8B; Species IV, Fig. 10A; Species V, Fig. 10B; Species VI, Figs. 9A and 9C; Species VII, Figs. 9B and 9D; Species VIII, Figs. 11A-11B; Species IX, Figs. 12A-12B; Species X, Figs. 12C-12D; and Species XI, Figs. 12E, 12F, 13B and 13C.


Applicant hereby elects Species VII, Figs. 9B and 9D. This election is made without traverse.

Claims 1, 6-10, 12-18, 24-26, 34-38, 40-46, 52-54 and 60 read on the elected species.

Claim 1 reads on all species, and is therefore generic. Upon allowance of Claim 1, Applicant requests examination of all claims that depend on claim 1.

Dated: August 10, 2006

Respectfully submitted,

  
Steven E. Koffs, Reg. No.: 37,163  
Attorney For Applicant

DUANE MORRIS LLP  
30 South 17<sup>th</sup> Street  
Philadelphia, Pennsylvania 19103-4196  
(215) 979-1250 (Telephone)  
(215) 979-1020 (Fax)